ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND POLICING IN NIGERIA: IMPLICATIONS FOR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION AND SAFER COMMUNITIES

Ekpeyong Bassey Albert
Department of Public Administration,
University of Calabar
ekpeyongba1@gmail.com

Eja, Terrence Richard
Department of Public Administration,
University of Calabar.
ejaterrence@yahoo.com

Ikaka, Beconson Fredrick
Department of Public Administration,
University of Calabar
beconsonfredrick@gmail.com

Abstract
The increasing complexity of insecurity in Nigeria has heightened the need for innovative approaches that can strengthen security administration and improve public safety. Conventional policing approaches, which are largely reactive, continue to face challenges arising from limited intelligence capacity, inadequate resources, delayed responses, and increasingly sophisticated criminal networks. This paper examines the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in transforming policing and enhancing security administration in Nigeria, with emphasis on its contribution to crime prevention and safer communities. The paper anchored on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), to explain AI adoption through perceived usefulness, organizational readiness, and institutional capacity. Using a qualitative research approach, the paper draws on scholarly literature, policy documents, and secondary sources to explore the opportunities and challenges associated with AI-driven policing. The paper argues that AI can enhance intelligence-led policing, improve crime analysis and investigation, strengthen surveillance, and support evidence-based decision-making. However, its effective adoption is constrained by inadequate digital infrastructure, weak data systems, ethical concerns, privacy risks, limited technical expertise, and regulatory gaps. The paper concludes that AI should complement professional policing practices. It recommends that the Nigeria Police Force develop an AI adoption framework, while relevant government and security institutions invest in digital infrastructure, regulatory mechanisms, and capacity development to promote AI integration.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Technology Acceptance Model, Policing, Nigeria Police Force, Security Administration, Safer Communities

1.1 Introduction

The stability and legitimacy of modern states are closely linked to their capacity to provide effective security for citizens. Security extends beyond the protection of lives and property; it constitutes a fundamental condition for economic development, social order, political stability, and public confidence in state institutions. Where governments are unable to effectively manage insecurity, the social contract between the state and citizens becomes weakened, while development processes are disrupted. However, the nature of security threats has significantly transformed in the twenty-first century. Contemporary insecurity now involves complex forms of terrorism, organized crime, cyber threats, transnational criminal networks, and technology-

enabled offenses that transcend traditional security boundaries. These emerging challenges have exposed the limitations of conventional policing approaches and created the need for adaptive, intelligence-driven, and technology-supported security strategies (Buzan, Weaver, & Wilde, 1998; Castells, 2010).

The increasing sophistication of criminal activities has compelled security institutions globally to move beyond reactive policing models towards preventive and intelligence-led approaches. Traditional policing systems, which largely depend on physical presence, human intelligence, and post-crime investigations, are increasingly challenged by criminal actors who exploit digital technologies and decentralized networks. Consequently, modern policing is being reshaped by technological innovations that improve intelligence gathering, information management, operational coordination, and strategic decision-making. However, scholars emphasize that technological transformation in policing must be accompanied by accountability, legitimacy, ethical safeguards, and public trust to ensure sustainable security outcomes (Ferguson, 2017; Brayne, 2020).

Nigeria's security environment reflects these global transformations. Since the return to democratic governance in 1999, the country has experienced persistent and diverse security challenges, including insurgency, banditry, kidnapping, communal conflicts, cybercrime, and other forms of violent insecurity. These challenges have placed significant pressure on security institutions, particularly the Nigeria Police Force, which remains the primary agency responsible for internal security and public order. Despite various reforms aimed at improving policing effectiveness, concerns remain regarding intelligence capacity, technological readiness, institutional coordination, operational efficiency, and the ability of security agencies to anticipate and prevent emerging threats (Onuoha, 2021; Alemika, 2018).

The persistence of these security challenges has intensified the search for innovative approaches capable of strengthening security administration. Contemporary security management increasingly depends on the ability to collect, process, and interpret information rapidly for timely decision-making and proactive intervention. In this regard, technology has become central to security governance, with digital platforms, data analytics, surveillance systems, and artificial intelligence (AI) offering new possibilities for improving institutional capacity and enhancing policing effectiveness (Kitchin, 2014; United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2021).

Artificial intelligence is one of the developing technologies that has garnered a lot of interest because of its potential to revolutionize public administration, governance, and security management. According to Russell and Norvig (2021), artificial intelligence (AI) refers to computer systems that are able to carry out activities related to human intellect, such as learning, reasoning, prediction, pattern recognition, and decision-making. Artificial intelligence (AI) systems are able to analyze vast amounts of data, recognize intricate correlations, and produce insights that help with strategic decision-making, in contrast to traditional technologies that mostly perform regular tasks. AI, according to Russell and Norvig (2021), signifies a move away from simple automation and toward adaptive intelligent systems that may support human judgment and enhance problem-solving techniques.

Artificial intelligence within the ecology of security administration has introduced new possibilities for enhancing law enforcement effectiveness. Globally, security institutions are

exploring AI applications such as predictive analytics, automated crime mapping, intelligence processing systems, facial recognition technologies, and digital surveillance platforms to improve threat identification, resource allocation, and preventive interventions. Predictive policing, in particular, reflects a shift from traditional reactive policing towards proactive approaches that utilize data analysis and risk assessment to anticipate potential security threats (Perry et al., 2013; Ferguson, 2017).

For Nigeria, AI-driven policing presents significant opportunities given the country's complex security realities and limited security resources. AI applications can support intelligence gathering, improve crime analysis, enhance operational planning, and strengthen collaboration among security institutions. By enabling faster processing of security information and supporting evidence-based decision-making, AI has the potential to improve policing outcomes and contribute to safer communities. The World Economic Forum (2023) highlights that emerging technologies can enhance public sector efficiency, responsiveness, and service delivery when supported by effective governance structures.

Notwithstanding these advantages, there are significant ethical, accountability, institutional capacity, and citizen rights issues with the use of AI in law enforcement. The public's trust and democratic policing ideals may be impacted by issues including algorithmic bias, privacy problems, restricted transparency, and intrusive surveillance because AI systems rely so largely on data and algorithms. According to O'Neil (2016) and Noble (2018), technical systems are not neutral since their results are impacted by the institutional contexts in which they function, design assumptions, and data quality. Therefore, robust legal frameworks, moral principles, and human oversight are necessary for the appropriate use of AI.

In the Nigerian context, these concerns are particularly significant due to existing institutional limitations, including inadequate digital infrastructure, limited technical expertise, weak data management systems, and evolving regulatory frameworks. Although Nigeria has demonstrated increasing commitment to digital transformation and artificial intelligence development through national policy initiatives, translating these ambitions into practical security applications requires deliberate investment in capacity building, institutional preparedness, and responsible innovation (Federal Ministry of Communications, Innovation and Digital Economy, 2024).

Therefore, AI and policing should be understood not merely as a technological advancement but as part of broader security governance, and institutional reform. AI should complement human policing by strengthening intelligence capabilities, improving operational efficiency, and supporting evidence-based security decisions. This paper examines Artificial Intelligence and Policing in Nigeria: Implications for Security Administration and Safer Communities, focusing on the opportunities, challenges, and institutional conditions necessary for responsible AI integration in policing.

1.2 Statement of the problem

Nigeria's security environment continues to face significant pressures despite ongoing interventions by government and security institutions. Conventional policing approaches have increasingly struggled to address emerging security threats due to limitations in intelligence capacity, operational coordination, technological readiness, and timely response mechanisms.

The evolving sophistication of criminal networks has therefore created the need for innovative approaches capable of strengthening preventive, intelligence-driven, and proactive security administration.

The Nigeria Police Force and other security institutions operate within a challenging environment characterized by complex social dynamics, growing security demands, limited technological capacity, and diverse forms of insecurity. The central challenge extends beyond managing existing threats to developing security systems capable of anticipating, analyzing, and preventing security risks before they escalate.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) presents new possibilities for transforming policing through data-driven intelligence, automated analysis, predictive capabilities, and improved decision-support systems. However, the extent to which Nigeria can effectively integrate AI into policing remains uncertain due to institutional limitations, including inadequate digital infrastructure, limited technical expertise, weak data management systems, privacy concerns, and ethical governance challenges.

Consequently, a critical gap exists between the transformative potential of AI as a modern policing tool and the institutional realities shaping its adoption within Nigeria's security administration framework. This paper addresses this gap by examining how AI can contribute to improved policing effectiveness while identifying the governance, capacity, and ethical considerations necessary for its responsible application in creating safer communities.

1.3 Objectives of the Paper

The general objective of this paper is to examine the implications of Artificial Intelligence integration in policing for security administration and safer communities in Nigeria. Specifically, the paper seeks to:

1. Examine the extent to which Artificial Intelligence integration in policing can enhance crime prevention in Nigeria.
2. Assess the role of Artificial Intelligence applications in improving crime investigation and intelligence gathering within the Nigerian policing system.
3. Examine how Artificial Intelligence-driven surveillance technologies can strengthen security monitoring and policing effectiveness in Nigeria.
4. Explore the future implications of Artificial Intelligence adoption for the transformation and modernization of the Nigeria Police Force

2.0 Conceptual Clarifications

2.1 Concept of Artificial Intelligence

Dwivedi et al. (2021) define artificial intelligence (AI) as computer-based systems' capacity to carry out tasks that often call for human cognitive abilities, such as learning, reasoning, pattern recognition, prediction, and decision-making. Technologies including machine learning, natural language processing, computer vision, and predictive analytics fuel modern AI applications, allowing systems to evaluate massive amounts of data, spot trends, and produce insights for better decision support.

The evolution of AI has expanded its role beyond basic automation towards intelligent assistance and analytical support. Rather than simply replacing human activities, AI increasingly

enhances organizational capacity by improving information processing, problem-solving, and evidence-based decision-making. Within public sector institutions, AI is being explored as a tool for improving administrative efficiency, strengthening policy implementation, and enhancing governance outcomes (OECD, 2023).

In security administration, the relevance of AI is driven by the growing importance of information and intelligence in managing complex security threats. Contemporary security environments generate extensive data from sources such as crime records, digital platforms, surveillance systems, and operational reports. AI technologies provide the capacity to analyze these diverse information sources rapidly, identify emerging patterns, and support timely strategic decisions by security institutions (Wang, Liu, & Chen, 2023).

According to Dwivedi et al. (2021), artificial intelligence (AI) entails the use of intelligent systems to improve resource management, threat assessment, surveillance, crime analysis, and investigation. AI-driven techniques, especially machine learning and natural language processing, can bolster intelligence-led enforcement and enhance preventive capacities, as emerging smart policing initiatives show. However, AI should be viewed as a supporting tool that improves human decision-making rather than as a substitute for expert policing judgment (Dwivedi et al., 2021). This distinction is particularly important in Nigeria, where security challenges are shaped by complex socio-political, economic, and institutional factors. While AI can improve operational efficiency and intelligence capacity, it cannot independently address the broader governance and structural issues underlying insecurity.

2.2 Concept of Policing and Security Administration

Policing refers to the institutional processes through which societies maintain public order, prevent crime, enforce laws, and protect citizens. In contemporary governance, policing has evolved beyond traditional reactive approaches focused on crime response and law enforcement to include intelligence gathering, community engagement, risk assessment, digital monitoring, and preventive security strategies. This transformation reflects the increasing complexity of criminal activities and the growing need for proactive, intelligence-led approaches to security management (Ratcliffe, 2023).

The changing nature of crime, particularly the emergence of organized, transnational, and technology-enabled criminal networks, has increased pressure on police institutions to adopt innovative tools and operational strategies. Consequently, intelligence-led policing and data-driven decision-making have become essential features of modern law enforcement, enabling police organizations to analyze information, identify crime patterns, and improve resource allocation (Europol, 2024). Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a major technological achievement in this changing environment, with applications in intelligence management, crime investigation, evidence processing, and predictive evaluation.

However, the effectiveness of AI in policing depends not only on technological availability but also on institutional capacity, ethical governance, professional expertise, and public confidence. While AI can enhance operational efficiency and improve decision-making, its application requires safeguards that address issues of accountability, privacy, transparency, and algorithmic bias (Finklea, 2023; Europol, 2024).

In Nigeria, policing remains central to internal security administration amid persistent challenges such as terrorism, kidnapping, cybercrime, communal conflicts, and organized criminal activities. These realities have increased the demand for a more proactive, intelligence-based, and technology-supported policing framework. However, effective AI adoption requires more than access to digital technologies; it requires institutional reform, skilled personnel, reliable data systems, and ethical frameworks that promote responsible use.

Therefore, AI integration in Nigerian policing should be understood as part of a broader modernization process aimed at strengthening police capacity rather than replacing human judgement. Its value lies in improving intelligence utilization, enhancing investigative processes, supporting evidence-based decisions, and contributing to more effective crime prevention and security governance.

2.3 Concept of Security Administration

According to Williams (2021), security administration refers to the institutional structures, policies, strategies, and operational processes through which the state identifies, manages, and responds to security threats while protecting citizens and maintaining public order. It involves intelligence coordination, inter-agency collaboration, resource management, policy execution, and the deployment of mechanisms aimed at ensuring national and human security.

In contemporary governance, security administration is increasingly shaped by information capacity and technological innovation. The ability of security institutions to collect, analyse, and utilize large volumes of data has become critical for effective threat detection, strategic planning, and operational decision-making. Within this context, artificial intelligence (AI) provides emerging capabilities for intelligence analysis, pattern recognition, risk assessment, and predictive security planning (UNODC, 2023).

However, the adoption of AI in security administration extends beyond technological acquisition. Effective integration requires strong governance frameworks, ethical standards, accountability mechanisms, skilled personnel, and reliable data systems. Recent debates on responsible AI emphasize that without adequate institutional safeguards, AI applications may generate concerns relating to transparency, bias, privacy, and accountability (OECD, 2023).

AI offers significant opportunities to strengthen security administration through improved intelligence processing, enhanced coordination among security agencies, and more evidence-based operational planning. Nevertheless, challenges such as weak digital infrastructure, limited technical capacity, fragmented data systems, and regulatory constraints may hinder effective implementation. Therefore, AI should be viewed as a strategic complement to human expertise and institutional reform rather than a substitute for conventional security practices.

2.4 Safer Communities

The idea of safer communities has expanded beyond the mere reduction of crime to include the capacity of institutions to prevent threats, protect citizens, and sustain public confidence in security systems. Community safety is increasingly viewed as a product of effective governance,

institutional legitimacy, social resilience, and proactive security strategies rather than only the absence of violence (Zedner, 2021).

Reactive police have given way to preventive and intelligence-driven strategies due to the evolving nature of crime and insecurity. In order to enhance criminal investigation, risk identification, predictive capacities, and evidence-based decision-making, emerging technologies particularly artificial intelligence (AI) are being incorporated into security management more and more (Meijer & Wessels, 2019). AI gives security organizations the chance to better allocate resources and predict attacks by analyzing vast amounts of data.

However, the contribution of AI to safer communities depends on the institutional environment in which it is deployed. Studies on algorithmic policing caution that AI systems may reproduce existing social and institutional biases when developed from incomplete or historically biased data. Therefore, transparency, accountability, ethical governance, and human oversight remain essential for ensuring responsible application of AI in security management (Brayne, 2021; Kitchin, 2021).

In Nigeria, where communities face diverse security challenges including terrorism, kidnapping, cybercrime, and organized criminal activities, AI offers opportunities to strengthen preventive security and intelligence coordination. Nevertheless, achieving safer communities requires more than technological adoption; it depends on institutional capacity, reliable data systems, professional competence, and public trust. Thus, AI should be understood as a supportive instrument for improving security governance rather than a replacement for human judgment and institutional reform.

2.5 Theoretical Framework

2.5.1 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) created by Davis (1989) serves as the foundation for this study. Modern expansions of the model are used to comprehend digital transformation and the adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) in businesses. The concept that successful technology integration depends not just on the availability of technological systems but also on consumers' acceptance, perception, and capacity to use such advancements efficiently is what makes TAM relevant.

Perceived utility and perceived ease of use are the two main characteristics used by the Technology Acceptance Model to explain technology adoption. Perceived utility is the degree to which users think a technology improves performance, whereas perceived ease of use is the perception that the technology is simple to adopt and use (Davis, 1989). By emphasizing the impact of organizational preparedness, trust, perceived risks, ethical considerations, and institutional capacity in influencing technological acceptance, recent studies on AI adoption have broadened the paradigm (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

Applied to policing, TAM suggests that security institutions are more likely to adopt AI systems when police personnel and administrators perceive such technologies as valuable for improving crime prevention, intelligence analysis, investigation, surveillance, and operational decision-making. Conversely, adoption challenges may emerge where personnel lack digital

competencies, question the reliability of algorithmic systems, or perceive AI as inconsistent with established policing practices.

The relevance of TAM to Nigeria's policing environment is significant because the effective application of AI requires more than technological investment. The Nigeria Police Force operates within a context where technological modernization is increasingly necessary, yet challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, limited technical capacity, and institutional constraints remain. Therefore, successful AI integration depends on creating an organizational environment where police personnel understand its benefits and possess the skills required for practical application.

2.5.0 Artificial Intelligence and the Transformation of Policing in Nigeria

2.5.1 Artificial Intelligence and Crime Prevention in Nigeria

Crime prevention has become a core objective of contemporary policing, reflecting a shift in emphasis from reactive responses to proactive strategies aimed at anticipating and reducing criminal activity. In Nigeria, this shift is particularly significant given the increasing complexity of insecurity, which includes terrorism, kidnapping, banditry, cybercrime, and organized criminal networks. These challenges have exposed the limitations of conventional policing approaches that rely heavily on post-incident response and traditional intelligence methods (Onuoha, 2021). Consequently, there is a growing demand for innovative, intelligence-driven, and preventive security strategies.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a key technological development reshaping modern approaches to crime prevention. AI refers to computational systems capable of performing tasks associated with human intelligence, including learning, prediction, pattern recognition, and decision support (Dwivedi et al., 2021). Through techniques such as machine learning, predictive analytics, natural language processing, and computer vision, AI enables security institutions to process large and complex datasets, identify emerging crime patterns, and support informed operational decisions (Russell & Norvig, 2021; OECD, 2023).

Hung and Yen, (2023) noted that the value of AI in crime prevention lies in its ability to strengthen the shift from reactive to preventive and intelligence-led policing. By analyzing historical crime data, spatial distributions, behavioral patterns, and real-time security inputs, AI-based systems can support law enforcement agencies in identifying high-risk areas, prioritizing resources, and improving strategic planning. Predictive policing models, in particular, illustrate how computational tools can assist in forecasting potential crime occurrences and guiding preventive deployments, although their effectiveness depends on data quality, institutional capacity, and ethical governance structures (Egbert & Esposito, 2024).

According to Urquhart and Miranda (2022), AI presents significant opportunities for enhancing crime prevention within a resource-constrained and highly complex security environment. AI-supported systems can improve intelligence gathering, enhance crime analysis, and strengthen coordination among security agencies. In addition, intelligent surveillance and data-driven monitoring tools can improve situational awareness and enable faster responses to emerging threats (Urquhart & Miranda, 2022).

However, the integration of AI into crime prevention strategies also raises important institutional and ethical concerns. Since AI systems are dependent on existing datasets, incomplete or biased crime records may lead to distorted outputs and potentially reinforce existing inequalities in policing practices (Lavorgna & Ugwudike, 2021). Issues relating to privacy, accountability, algorithmic bias, and excessive surveillance further underscore the need for strong governance frameworks to regulate the use of such technologies (UNESCO, 2021; OECD, 2023).

Moreso, the effectiveness of AI-driven crime prevention is contingent upon institutional readiness. Successful implementation requires adequate digital infrastructure, skilled personnel, reliable data systems, organizational commitment, and clear regulatory policies. In the absence of these conditions, AI risks becoming underutilized or ineffective, rather than serving as a transformative tool for strengthening security governance in Nigeria (Dempsey et al., 2023).

2.5.2 Artificial Intelligence and Crime Investigation in Nigeria

Modern crime investigation is increasingly shaped by the volume, complexity, and digital nature of criminal activity. In Nigeria, investigative practice has historically relied on conventional techniques such as interrogation, witness testimony, physical evidence collection, and the experiential judgement of investigators (Onuoha, 2021). While these methods remain important, they are increasingly constrained by the character of contemporary crimes, which are often technologically mediated and generate extensive digital footprints across communication networks, financial systems, and online platforms (CLEEN Foundation, 2022).

This shift in the crime landscape has gradually redefined what effective investigation requires. The challenge is no longer limited to identifying suspects but extends to processing large-scale, fragmented, and often unstructured data within limited timeframes. In this context, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become increasingly relevant as a tool for enhancing investigative capability. AI refers to computational systems designed to simulate aspects of human intelligence, including learning, reasoning, and pattern recognition, thereby enabling more efficient analysis of complex information environments (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

Rather than functioning as a replacement for investigators, AI operates as an analytical support system that strengthens investigative reasoning (Sarzaeim et al., 2023). Through tools such as machine learning, biometric systems, natural language processing, and digital forensic algorithms, AI can assist in organizing evidence, detecting anomalies, and identifying connections that may not be immediately visible through manual analysis. This capability is particularly valuable in cases involving dispersed or hidden criminal networks, where relationships between actors and activities are not easily traceable through conventional investigative procedures (Sarzaeim et al., 2023).

One of the most significant contributions of AI is its application to digital forensics. The expansion of mobile communication, online financial transactions, and social media usage means that many crimes now produce digital evidence (Sarzaeim et al., 2023). AI-enabled forensic systems can sift through large datasets, reconstruct communication patterns, and extract relevant investigative leads with greater speed and precision than traditional methods allow. This

is especially relevant in Nigeria's context, where cybercrime and digital fraud continue to expand in scale and sophistication.

Beyond evidence processing, AI also strengthens intelligence integration within investigative work. By consolidating data from multiple sources, AI systems can support investigators in identifying patterns of criminal behavior and mapping networks of association (Eja & Azu 2025). This becomes particularly useful in addressing organized crime, terrorism, and kidnapping, where criminal operations are decentralized and coordinated across multiple locations (Khalfa & Hardyns, 2024).

However, the effectiveness of AI in crime investigation is not guaranteed by its technological capabilities alone. Its value is closely tied to institutional conditions such as data quality, infrastructure availability, technical expertise, and organizational readiness. Without these supporting factors, AI risks remaining underutilized or producing unreliable outputs that may weaken rather than strengthen investigative outcomes. The table below summarizes how AI can support different dimensions of crime investigation in Nigeria:

3.0 Methodology

The study adopted a qualitative research design based on documentary analysis to examine the conceptual, institutional, and policy dimensions of Artificial Intelligence (AI) integration in policing. The approach is appropriate because the study seeks to explore emerging trends, opportunities, and challenges associated with AI-driven security administration rather than establish statistical relationships among variables.

The study relies on secondary sources, including peer-reviewed journal articles, academic books, policy documents, government publications, and reports from relevant international organizations. Existing literature on Artificial Intelligence, predictive policing, security governance, police modernization, and ethical technology adoption was systematically reviewed. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis, focusing on four major themes: AI and crime prevention; AI and crime investigation; AI-driven surveillance and security monitoring; and AI-driven transformation of the Nigeria Police Force. This approach enables a critical assessment of the potential benefits, institutional constraints, and governance implications of adopting AI within Nigeria's policing system.

4.1 Data Presentation

Table 1: **Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Crime Investigation and Their Implications for Policing in Nigeria**

Area of Investigation	AI Application	Expected Contribution to Policing
Digital investigation	Machine learning and forensic analytics	Faster analysis of electronic evidence
Criminal intelligence	Data integration and pattern recognition	Identification of criminal networks and relationships
Suspect identification	Facial recognition and biometric analysis	Improved identification processes
Investigation planning	Predictive analytics	Better allocation of investigative resources
Information management	Automated data processing	Improved storage, retrieval,

	and interpretation of intelligence

Source: Authors compilation (2026)

The table above explains that: AI-supported criminal identification technologies, particularly biometric tools such as facial recognition and automated identification systems, offer significant potential for improving crime investigation by linking suspects to evidence and supporting cases involving terrorism, organized crime, missing persons, and identity-related offences (Urquhart & Miranda, 2022). In Nigeria, these tools could enhance investigative capacity were existing security structures face resource and operational constraints.

However, their effectiveness is limited by data quality challenges. AI systems depend on accurate and complete datasets, yet incomplete or poorly managed crime records can produce unreliable results. Lavorgna and Ugwudike (2021) note that data-driven justice systems often reflect weaknesses in underlying data structures, a challenge compounded in Nigeria by fragmented databases and weak inter-agency information sharing.

Ethical concerns also remain critical, particularly issues of algorithmic bias, transparency, and accountability in policing systems. Hung and Yen (2023) stress that fairness mechanisms are essential to prevent discriminatory outcomes and maintain public trust. Similarly, effective use of AI requires adequate human capacity development, as officers must be trained to operate and interpret AI tools for proper application (Dempsey et al., 2023).

Furthermore, AI deployment in policing requires strong governance frameworks that ensure transparency, oversight, and protection of rights (UNESCO, 2021; OECD, 2023). Overall, while AI can strengthen crime investigation in Nigeria, its effectiveness depends on data quality, ethical safeguards, institutional readiness, and skilled personnel, and it should serve as a supportive tool rather than a replacement for human judgment.

4.2 Artificial Intelligence and Surveillance for Security Administration

Although surveillance has long been a key component of security management, contemporary threats like terrorism, cybercrime, kidnapping, and organized violence, which frequently surpass the capabilities of human-dependent monitoring systems, are straining its efficacy (Onuoha, 2021; OECD, 2023). Due to this constraint, interest in Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a more adaptable and data-driven substitute has increased.

AI refers to systems that can learn, recognize patterns, and make predictions from large datasets (Dwivedi et al., 2021). In surveillance, it enables automated monitoring through tools such as facial recognition, video analytics, and predictive modelling, allowing security systems to detect and respond to threats more efficiently (Sarzaeim et al., 2023). Unlike traditional approaches, AI enhances surveillance by shifting it from reactive observation to proactive threat detection. It reduces reliance on continuous human monitoring, improves accuracy, and supports faster decision-making in security operations (Wang et al., 2023).

The adoption of AI-based surveillance in Nigeria can strengthen security administration by improving monitoring of public spaces, supporting identity verification, and enhancing intelligence gathering (Urquhart & Miranda, 2022). However, concerns around privacy, bias,

and data misuse remain critical challenges (UNESCO, 2021). AI also supports predictive surveillance by analysing patterns in historical data to anticipate security risks and guide resource allocation more effectively (Hung & Yen, 2023). Overall, AI is reshaping surveillance into a more proactive and intelligence-driven component of modern security administration.

4.3 Artificial Intelligence and the Future Direction of the Nigeria Police Force

According to Ikaka, Undie, and Eghort (2025) the future effectiveness of the Nigeria Police Force (NPF) will increasingly depend on its ability to adapt to technological change and respond to evolving security threats such as cybercrime, terrorism, kidnapping, and organized criminal networks. These emerging crimes have exposed the limitations of traditional, manpower-driven and reactive policing approaches, creating a growing need for more intelligence-led and technology-supported systems (Dwivedi et al., 2021; Sarzaeim et al., 2023).

Artificial Intelligence (AI) offers a pathway for this transformation by enabling faster data processing, pattern recognition, predictive analysis, and improved operational decision-making. Through AI-supported systems, the police can better analyse crime data, detect emerging threats, support investigations, and enhance strategic resource deployment, thereby shifting policing from reactive response to proactive prevention (Khalfa & Hardyns, 2024).

A key future direction lies in strengthening intelligence and investigative functions. AI can support digital forensics, crime mapping, evidence analysis, and the identification of links between criminal actors, particularly in crimes that rely heavily on digital communication and networks (Sarzaeim et al., 2023). It can also improve coordination and intelligence sharing across security agencies, enhancing overall policing efficiency.

However, the integration of AI into the NPF depends largely on institutional readiness, including digital infrastructure, data quality, cybersecurity systems, and staff competence. As emphasized by OECD (2025), successful AI adoption requires strong governance structures, skilled personnel, and accountability mechanisms.

Human capacity remains central to this transition. Officers must be trained to interpret AI outputs and apply professional judgment, as policing decisions involve ethical and legal considerations that technology alone cannot resolve (UNESCO, 2021). AI should therefore complement, not replace, human decision-making. Ultimately, while AI presents significant opportunities for modernizing the Nigeria Police Force through improved intelligence-led policing and operational efficiency, its success will depend on balanced integration with institutional reform, ethical governance, and continuous professional development.

4.4 Challenges of Artificial Intelligence Integration in Nigerian Policing

Although surveillance has traditionally been a key component of security administration, contemporary dangers like terrorism, cybercrime, kidnapping, and organized violence, which frequently surpass the capabilities of human-dependent monitoring systems, are rapidly straining its efficacy (Onuoha, 2021; OECD, 2023). Artificial Intelligence (AI) has gained popularity as a more data-driven and responsive substitute due to this restriction in the following ways:

1. **Limitation of digital infrastructure and data systems:** AI relies on large, accurate, and well-structured datasets, yet weaknesses in crime data collection, fragmented databases, poor interoperability, and limited information sharing within security agencies reduce its effectiveness. In many cases, poor-quality data may distort AI outputs and reinforce existing weaknesses in decision-making processes (Lavorgna & Ugwudike, 2021). This highlights the need for stronger data governance and integrated digital systems.
2. **Ethical and privacy concerns:** AI tools such as facial recognition, predictive policing, and surveillance systems raise issues relating to privacy intrusion, accountability, and potential misuse of power. Additionally, biased historical data may lead to discriminatory outcomes if not properly managed. As noted by Hung and Yen (2023) and UNESCO (2021), effective AI deployment requires transparency, ethical safeguards, and strong human oversight.
3. **Shortage of technical expertise within the policing system:** The effective use of AI requires skills in data analytics, cybersecurity, and digital forensics. Without adequate training and capacity development, AI systems may be underutilized or overly dependent on external experts, limiting sustainability and institutional ownership.
4. **Regulatory and governance gaps further complicate AI integration:** Clear policies are needed to define acceptable use, ensure data protection, and establish accountability mechanisms. Without such frameworks, AI adoption may weaken public trust and create risks of misuse. OECD (2025) emphasizes that AI in the public sector must be guided by strong governance structures that balance innovation with responsibility and human rights protection.
5. **Low institutional readiness and resistance to change**: Organizational structures, traditional policing culture, and limited technological preparedness may slow down AI adoption and reduce its effective implementation.
6. **Financial constraints**: High costs associated with acquiring, maintaining, and upgrading AI technologies may limit widespread adoption within policing institutions.

These challenges show that the integration of AI into Nigerian policing requires more than technological deployment. It demands institutional strengthening, ethical regulation, capacity building, and improved data systems to ensure that AI contributes effectively to safer and more accountable policing.

5.0 Conclusion

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into policing presents a significant opportunity for strengthening security administration in Nigeria. As criminal activities become more complex and technology-driven, the Nigeria Police Force requires improved capabilities in intelligence analysis, investigation, surveillance, and decision-making. AI can support these functions by enhancing access to information, improving crime analysis, and enabling more proactive policing approaches.

However, the effectiveness of AI in Nigerian policing will depend on more than technological adoption. Challenges relating to digital infrastructure, data quality, technical capacity, ethical concerns, and governance must be addressed to ensure responsible application. AI should complement the professional judgement of police officers rather than replace the

human elements of policing. The future of AI-driven policing in Nigeria therefore requires a balanced approach that combines technological innovation with institutional reform, capacity development, and accountability. When properly managed, AI can contribute to a more effective, responsive, and trusted Nigeria Police Force capable of improving security outcomes and supporting safer communities.

6.0 Recommendations

Based on the findings of the paper, the following recommendations were made:

a. Improve Digital Infrastructure and Data Management: The Nigeria Police Force should strengthen digital systems, crime databases, and information-sharing platforms to support effective AI application in policing.
b. Develop AI and Digital Skills Among Police Personnel: Regular training should be provided to enhance officers' capacity in data analysis, digital forensics, and the use of AI-supported policing tools.
c. Establish AI Governance Frameworks: Clear policies should guide the use of AI in policing, ensuring transparency, accountability, privacy protection, and responsible deployment.
d. Integrate AI with Police Reform Efforts: AI adoption should complement broader reforms aimed at improving professionalism, public trust, and operational effectiveness within the police institution.
e. Promote Strategic Partnerships: The Nigeria Police Force should collaborate with research institutions, technology experts, and relevant agencies to develop sustainable AI-driven security solutions.

REFERENCES

Alemika, E. E. O. (2018). *Police transformation and accountability in Nigeria*. CLEEN Foundation.

Brayne, S. (2020). *Predict and surveil: Data, discretion, and the future of policing*. Oxford University Press.

Brayne, S. (2021). *Predict and surveil: Data, discretion, and the future of policing*. Oxford University Press.

Buzan, B., Wæver, O., & Wilde, J. (1998). *Security: A new framework for analysis*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.

Castells, M. (2010). *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.

CLEEN Foundation. (2022). *Police reform and public safety in Nigeria: Emerging challenges and policy options*. CLEEN Foundation.

Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*(3), 319–340.

Dempsey, R. P., Brunet, J. R., & Dubljević, V. (2023). Exploring and understanding law enforcement's relationship with technology: A qualitative interview study of police officers. *Applied Sciences, 13*(6), 3887.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P. V., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A. K., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., Medaglia, R., Le Meunier-FitzHugh, K., Misra, S., Mogaji, E., Sharma, S. K., Singh, J. B., Raghavan, V., Raman, R., Rana, N. P., Samothrakis, S., Spencer, J., Tamilmani, K., Tubadji, A., Walton, P., & Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging

challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management, 57*, 101994.

Ebenibo, L. (2024). *The Data Protection Act 2023 and the age of artificial intelligence: Is the present legislative regime sufficient? A Nigerian case study*. SSRN.

Egbert, S., & Esposito, E. (2024). Algorithmic crime prevention: From abstract police to precision policing. *Policing and Society, 34*(6), 521–534.

Eja, T., Azu, S., Azu, S. B., Adie, J., Ben, C., Adie, L (2025), Technological Innovations and the Performance of the Independent National Electoral Commission in Cross River State, Nigeria in the 2015 and 2019 General Elections. *The Journal of Arts, Humanities and Development Studies*, 6(2), 41-63

Eja, T, & Azu, S (2025), INEC Administrative Innovations and Election Management in Nigeria; Assessing Voting Points and Biometric Registration in Cross River State. *Journal of Public Administration, Policy and Governance Research*; 3(3).

Eke, R. N. (2025). Adopting Artificial Intelligence-based predictive policing in Nigeria: Prospects and difficulties. *African Journal of Advances in Science and Technology Research, 18*(1), 142–164.

Europol. (2024). *Artificial intelligence and policing: The benefits and challenges of artificial intelligence for law enforcement*. European Union Agency for Law Enforcement Cooperation.

Ferguson, A. G. (2017). *The rise of big data policing: Surveillance, race, and the future of law enforcement*. New York University Press.

Finklea, K. (2023). *Law enforcement use of artificial intelligence and directives in the 2023 Executive Order*. Congressional Research Service.

Hung, T. W., & Yen, C. P. (2023). Predictive policing and algorithmic fairness. *Synthese, 201*, Article 206.

Ijaware, V. A., & Olubaju, A. (2024). *AI and GIS for crime mapping and kidnapping mitigation: A case study of Ondo State, Nigeria*. ResearchGate.

Ikaka, B. F., Undie, G. I., & Eghort, P. U. (2025). Human resource development and internal security: Linking recruitment to ethical behavior in Nigeria Police Force. *Wukari International Studies Journal, 9*(3), 132–144.

INTERPOL & UNICRI. (2023). *Toolkit for responsible AI innovation in law enforcement*. International Criminal Police Organization.

Ismail, U., & Abdullahi, A. S. (2024). Digital policing in Nigeria: A study of Jigawa State Police Command. *International Journal of Police Science & Management, 26*(1), 85–99.

Kaur, M., & Saini, M. (2024). Role of Artificial Intelligence in crime prediction and pattern analysis studies published over the last decade: A scientometric analysis. *Artificial Intelligence Review, 57*, Article 202.

Khalfa, R., & Hardyns, W. (2024). "Led by intelligence": A scoping review on the experimental evaluation of intelligence-led policing. *Evaluation Review, 48*(5), 797–847.

Kitchin, R. (2014). *The data revolution: big data, open data, data infrastructures and their consequences*. SAGE.

Kitchin, R. (2021). Data lives: How data are made and shaped in the digital age. *Bristol University Press*.

Lavorgna, A., & Ugwudike, P. (2021). The datafication revolution in criminal justice: An empirical exploration of frames portraying data-driven technologies for crime prevention and control. *Big Data & Society, 8*(2), 1–13.

Meijer, A., & Wessels, M. (2019). Predictive policing: Review of benefits and drawbacks. *International Journal of Public Administration, 42*(12), 1031–1039.

Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism*. New York University Press.

O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction*. Crown.

OECD. (2023). *OECD framework for the classification of AI systems*. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2023). *OECD framework for the classification of AI systems: A tool for effective AI policies*. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.

OECD. (2025). *Governing with Artificial Intelligence: The state of play and way forward in core government functions*. OECD Publishing.

Okoru, A. O., Uche, D. O., Alale, V. P., & Olumani, Z. R. G. (2023). Intelligence-driven policing approach and crime control in Nigeria. *FUOYE Journal of Criminology and Security Studies*.

Onuoha, F. C. (2021). Nigeria's security challenges and governance crisis: Emerging trends and policy implications. *African Security Review, 30*(2), 120–135.

Perry, W. L., McInnis, B., Price, C. C., Smith, S. C., & Hollywood, J. S. (2013). *Predictive policing: The role of crime forecasting in law enforcement operations*. RAND Corporation.

Ratcliffe, J. H. (2023). *Intelligence-led policing: The new intelligence architecture*. Routledge.

Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Sarzaeim, P., Mahmoud, Q. H., Azim, A., Bauer, G., & Bowles, I. (2023). A systematic review of using machine learning and natural language processing in smart policing. *Computers, 12*(12), 255.

Shide, S. (2025). The integration of Artificial Intelligence in policing: A study of future directions of the Nigeria Police Force. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation, 12*(10), 3208–3218.

UNESCO. (2021). *Recommendation on the ethics of Artificial Intelligence*. UNESCO.

Urquhart, L., & Miranda, D. (2022). Policing faces: The present and future of intelligent facial surveillance. *Information & Communications Technology Law, 31*(2), 194–219.

Wang, P., Liu, Y., & Chen, X. (2023). Artificial intelligence applications in policing and public security: Emerging opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Information Science*.

Wessels, M. (2024). Algorithmic policing accountability: Sociotechnical challenges and governance implications. *Policing and Society, 34*(3), 124–138.

Williams, P. D. (2021). *Understanding global security* (4th ed.). Routledge.

World Economic Forum. (2023). *The future of artificial intelligence and public sector transformation*. WEF.

Zedner, L. (2021). Security, the state and the citizen: The changing nature of security governance. *Theoretical Criminology, 25*(4), 547–565